

JUN 25 2007

Appln. No. 10/821,332  
Amendment dated June 25, 2007  
Reply to Final Office Action mailed March 23, 2007

### REMARKS

Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Entry of the above amendments is courteously requested in order to place all claims in this application in allowable condition and/or to place the non-allowed claims in better condition for consideration on appeal.

As a preliminary note, claims 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 23, 24, 28, and 35 have been amended to correct typographical changes that did not exist in the claims as filed, but were introduced during prosecution. The above changes have been made to restore the text of these claims to their original form.

Claims 1 through 30, 32 and 34 through 36 remain in this application. Claims 3, 18, 19, 21, 26, 27, 31, and 33 have been cancelled. No claims have been withdrawn. Claims 37 through 42 have been added.

It is noted that the status of claim 36 was not specifically mentioned in the final Office Action, but claim 36 was not included in the rejection and it is presumed that claim 36 is in condition for allowance.

### Paragraphs 3 and 4 of the Office Action

Claim 32 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112 (second paragraph) as being indefinite.

The above amendment to claim 32 is believed to clarify the requirements of the rejected claims, especially the particular points identified in the Office Action.

Withdrawal of the §112 rejection of claim 32 is therefore respectfully requested.

Appln. No. 10/821,332  
Amendment dated June 25, 2007  
Reply to Final Office Action mailed March 23, 2007

**Paragraphs 6 and 7 of the Office Action**

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 9 through 17, 25, 28 and 29 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 103(a) as being unpatentable over Casden in view of Rix.

Claim 1 has been amended to include the requirements of claim 3, which was indicated as being allowable in the final Office Action. Claims 2, 4, 5, and 9 through 16, which depend from claim as amended, also include these requirements and are also submitted to be in condition for allowance.

Claims 6 and 8 have each been written into independent form, and per the indication of allowability in the final Office Action, these claims are submitted to be in condition for allowance.

Claim 17 has been amended to include the requirements of claim 21, which was indicated as being allowable in independent form, and therefore claim 17, as well as claims 22, 23, 24, 25, 28 and 29 which depend from claim 17, are submitted to in condition for allowance.

Withdrawal of the §103(a) rejection of claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 9 through 17, 25, 28 through 39 and 34 is therefore respectfully requested.

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER 013  
JUN 25 2007

Appln. No. 10/821,332  
Amendment dated June 25, 2007  
Reply to Final Office Action mailed March '23, 2007

**CONCLUSION**

In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, early reconsideration and allowance of this application are most courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Respectfully submitted,

GATEWAY, INC.

By



Date:

JUNE 25, 2007

Jeffrey A. Proehl (Reg. No. 35,987)

Customer No. 24,333

610 Gateway Dr.

MD Y-04

North Sioux City, SD 57049

Voice: 605.232.2000 ext.26809 (Lori Boulware, Patent Assistant)

Fax 605.232.2612